

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,588	02/11/2004	Yuri Itkis	5896.00025 4947	
29747 7:	590 02/08/2005	EXAMINER		INER
QUIRK & TRATOS 3773 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY			ONEILL, MICHAEL W	
SUITE 500 NORTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAS VEGAS, NV 89109			3713	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/777,588	ITKIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael O'Neill	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•	,				
4) Claim(s) 57-79 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 74 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57,58,60-73 and 75-79</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57,58,60-73 and 75-79</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>59</u> is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/777,588

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: update the continuity date in the first paragraph of the specification. Para [0052] has improper incorporation by reference. The trademark usage in paras. [0043] and [0063].

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 57, 58, 60-64, 67, 69-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fields, USPN 6,769,991.

Fields discloses the claimed invention in the following manner. The self-service dispenser is met with the combination of elements (20) and (10). The at least one portable gaming device is met with the combination of elements of (40) and (6). Two-way secure communication channels with one being secure and

Application/Control Number: 10/777,588

Art Unit: 3713

wherein the dispenser and portable gaming device are in wireless communication is met in the following manner. The preferred embodiment of Fields is with the input means being actuated by the player. However, Fields discloses in col. 8:37 and col. 9:31-32, that the input means could be constructed to operate wireless and would include a radio-frequency receiver, i.e. a transceiver. As expressed in col. 9:30-33, the BINGO numbers could be entered in the gaming device automatically via a radio-frequency signal issued at least indirectly from the central computer, i.e. e.g. the dispenser which is the combination of elements (10) and (20). The latch limitation is met with the frictional engagement of the elements (40) to the element (20). The predetermined event limitation is met with the removal of the element (40) from the element (20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,588

Art Unit: 3713

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 65-68 and 75-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fields.

With respect to claims 65-68 and 75-79, these claims are directed to the dispenser acting as a "cashier". Fields teaches that the computer (10) may operate as a point-of-sale cashier, see e.g. col. 3:52. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the well-known POS cashier equipment such as a bill validator, bar code reader, receipt printer, player tracker card reader, refund or change tray means, winnings tray means and/or cashier's drawer to the point-of-sale cashier teaching found in Fields in order to more efficiently operate the Fields' system and not require the patron to travel to another part of the casino to do the cash transactions need to acquire a portable gaming device for a particular BINGO game being played.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,588

Art Unit: 3713

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 57-79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Under the broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification, the term "secure" can be interpreted to be in its plain meaning which is "free from risk or loss". The Applicant has not disclosed any particular encryption means and has just mentioned that a channel is secure; which appears that the Applicant's remarks try to propound vis-à-vis the prior art of record used in the previous Office action. Therefore, the system operating on a frequency other than a frequency commonly utilize by patrons in a casino, such as intercom voice broadcast, TV or radio, would be secure within the preview of the broad claim term of 'secure'; because communication within such a frequency channel would be free from risk or loss by the patrons since said patrons don't have ready access to such frequency, e.g. particular radio-cellular frequencies would fall herewithin.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,588

Art Unit: 3713

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 571-272-4442. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan M. Thai can be

Art Unit: 3713

reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MON

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

MUCM